Moral Impossibility: Rethinking Choice and Conflict

Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant No. 101026701 Silvia Caprioglio Panizza (University of Pardubice)

WHAT is moral impossibility?

Everything that is not part of our **perceived** range of **possibilities** when we think, choose, and act – for **moral reasons**

This distinguishes moral impossibilities from a) empirical and b) psychological limitations

These reasons are typically neither overt nor conscious

Moral possibilities are a) subject dependent and b) contextual

Possibilities are inherently and necessarily limited in moral thinking

Q: How do we tell the difference?

Q: How do we know these reasons are there?

- Q: What makes something possible
- a) for me and not for you and
- b) now but not yesterday

TYPES of moral impossibility

THE UNTHNKABLE

What we struggle to even *imagine* or *fully conceive*

THE UNCONCEIVED

What we haven't thought about as a possibility

INCAPACITY

What we can imagine and conceive, but cannot bring ourselves to <u>do</u>

SILENCING

What does not occur to us because the right choice is already clear

<u>Domains of investigation</u>: imagination, deliberation, action

WHY does it matter?

- The range of possibilities we choose among determines the available options
- There is always something we do not consider for moral reasons: that tells us something about our moral vision
- Often, the discourse of impossibility covertly indicates a moral, not a logical or empirical impossibility
- Bringing such impossibility to light is important to clarify what choices we are actually making
- Framing some conflicts in terms of impossibility rather than simple disagreement may help to understand why some conflicts are intractable